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## OUTLINE

PART.I

- Star and Stellar Cluster formation


## PART 11

Stellar oscillations

PART III
Observations, analysis \& new results

PART I
STAR AND STELLAR
CLUSTER FORMATION

## INTRODUCTION

STAR FORMATION

- Fundamental problem in Astrophysics SHU ET AL. 1987; MCKEE \& OSTRIKER 2007
- Gravitational collapse of turbulent molecular clouds (MC)
- Physical and chemical properties and dynamics of star forming regions (SFR)
- Origin of stellar mass distribution (IMF)
- Star and planet formation rates
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- Link to stellar evolution and planet formation
- Formation, structure, and evolution of galaxies


## Very difficult to access:

- SFR are dense and obscured by dust (only IR and Radio)

- MC change density by 10 orders - Hierarchical step approaches required

INTRODUCTION

## MASSIVE SFR

- Star formation very diffused in Galaxy
- ~1300 massive SFR identified with IR, sub-mm, radio surveys across inner Galaxy URQUHART ET AL. 2014
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- Half star formation in Milky Way occurring in 24 giant MC (up to $10^{7} \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ each)

LEE ET AL. 2012; LONGMORE ET AL. 2014

## PROTO-CLUSTERS

- Giant MC can form hundreds of proto-clusters each with up to $10^{5}$ Msun (many Jeans masses!)
IMMER AL. 2012; LONGMORE ET AL. 2012
- Stellar clusters are common and likely to form (high mass clumps)
- Understand cluster formation is critical to understand star formation
- Sun and Solar System likely originated from a cluster ADAMS 2010


BENCHMARKS OF STAR FORMATION OPEN CLUSTERS

- Open clusters (OC) important:

LADA \& LADA 2003; LONGMORE ET AL. 2014

- Can be observed in multi bands because no or little ISM (not embedded)
Not possible in SFR because covered by dust


OPEN CLUSTER NGC 265 © NASA/ESA

- Stars are sparse ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }} \mathrm{pc}^{-3}$ ) —> precise follow-up studies possible Not possible in e.g. Globular Clusters, too dense!
- Stars in cluster can preserve imprint of initial cdts of progenitor MC Not possible with field stars because from dissolved small stellar systems


# STELLAR CLUSTERS AND 3D SIMULATIONS <br> IMPRINT OF INITIAL CONDITIONS? 

- 3D numerical simulations of MC collapse and cluster formation to study morphology and dynamics BATE ET AL. 2009; KUZNETSOVA ET AL. 2015
- Stars can form either isolated, in filaments or in clusters (more common)
- Kinematic signatures of MC might not live long enough to be observed



## STELLAR CLUSTERS AND 3D SIMULATIONS

## CLOUD'S ANGULAR MOMENTUM

- From 3D MHD simulations of proto-cluster formation LEE \& HENNEBELLE 2016

$$
E_{\mathrm{kin}}=E_{\mathrm{tur}}+E_{\mathrm{rot}} \quad E_{\mathrm{rot}}<\frac{1}{2} E_{\mathrm{tur}}
$$

- Angular momentum from the cloud is not efficiently passed to stars
- Less general cloud's rotation at scales of forming stars (several AU)

PROTO-CLUSTER FORMATION © LEE \& HENNEBELLE 2016


## CLOUD'S ANGULAR MOMENTUM

## OBSERVATIONAL RESULTS

- Evolution of cloud's AM not well understood
E.G. SHU, ADAMS \& LIZANO 1987; DONG LAI 2014
- Stellar-spin axis randomly distributed in nearby OC Pleiades and
Alpha Persei (d ~ 150 pc, Age~80 Myr) JACKSON \& JEFFRIES 2010
- Clouds' average AM scrambled by turbulence at different scales

- Imprint of cloud's global rotation lost during star formation

Turbulence fields counteract cloud's global rotation in producing spin alignment


## CLOUD'S ANGULAR MOMENTUM <br> OBSERVATIONAL LIMITATIONS

- Observational technique requires combination of several observations: JACKSON \& JEFFRIES 2010
- $P_{\text {rot }}$ from light curve spot modulation (active stars!)
- v sini measurement from spectroscopic observations
- stellar radius $R$ from cluster distance + angular diameter

- cluster distance from parallax (Hipparcos)
- angular diameter from magnitude (de-reddened) + color index relation recalibrated with interferometry on MS and SG stars KERVELLA ET AL. 2004

$$
\sin i=\frac{v \sin i P_{\mathrm{rot}}}{2 \pi R}
$$

Only young active stars possible Strong sensitivity to cluster distance Prone to large systematics

PART II

## STELLAR OSCILLATIONS

PROBING THE INTERIOR OF STARS
ASTEROSEISMOLOGY

- Most stars with M ~ 1-3 $\mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ oscillate like the Sun (helioseismology)
CHRISTENSEN-DALSGAARD 1987
- ~ 100 K known today
- Space missions MOST, CoRoT, NASA's Kepler \& K2
- More to follow: NASA TESS, ESA PLATO space missions




## Probing the interior of stars <br> SOLAR-LIKE OSCILLATIONS

Acoustic waves ( $\mathbf{p}$ modes) propagate in outer CZ


[^0]
## PROBING THE INTERIOR OF STARS

## SOLAR-LIKE OSCILLATIONS

- Produce tiny brightness variations (from few ppm to ppt) in light curve
- Fourier analysis (Power Spectrum) reveals Gaussian envelope of oscillations

$$
\nu_{\max } \propto g / \sqrt{T_{\mathrm{eff}}}
$$

© VIRGO/SPM ONBOARD SOHO



## PROBING THE INTERIOR OF STARS

## OSCILLATION MODES

- Oscillation mode identified by 3 quantum numbers ( $n, \ell, m$, for spherical harmonic)
- Surface distribution depends on oscillation mode


## SOLAR <br> OSCIDIONS


n ~ 10-20

$\ell=3, m=1$

© BECK \& KALLINGER, 2013 S\&W

PROBING THE INTERIOR OF STARS

## ASYMPTOTIC PATTERN

- When radial order $\boldsymbol{n} \gg 1$, regime becomes asymptotic

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nu_{\max } \propto g / \sqrt{T_{\mathrm{eff}}} \\
\Delta \nu \propto \bar{\rho}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Modes with same angular degree $\ell$ are equally spaced in frequency
- Large frequency separation $\Delta v$ probes
 mean stellar density

n ~ 10-20

© BEDDING, KJELDSEN ET AL. 2003


## PROBING THE INTERIOR OF STARS

## DETAILED MODE PROPERTIES

- Each oscillation mode is characterized by 3 parameters
- An individual PS can require hundreds of free parameters to be modeled

Damped oscillation


$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\text {obs }} \gg \tau \\
& \Gamma \propto \tau^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\nu_{0}, \Gamma, H$

EVOLVED SOLAR-TYPE STARS
RED GIANTS

Main Sequence Hydrogen core fusion


He fusion
( $\mathrm{He} \Rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ )


Hydrogen core

$$
(\mathrm{H} \Rightarrow \mathrm{He})
$$

Hydrogen shell fusion Red Giant Branch
© THOMAS KALLINGER

## EVOLVED SOLAR-TYPE STARS

## RG OSCILLATIONS

- Giants are very luminous: can be observed more far away than MS (dwarfs)
- Useful for Galactic Archeology: map Galaxy structure and evolution, Globular Clusters MIGLIO ET AL. 2013, 2016
- Solar-like oscillations in outer CZ

- Couple with gravity waves from RZ
- Dipole ( $\ell=1$ ) mixed modes observable, with both g - and p - character


EVOLVED SOLAR-TYPE STARS MIXED MODES PATTERN


MEASURING STELLAR AM INTERNAL ROTATION


## MEASURING STELLAR AM

## SPIN INCLINATION ANGLE

- Stellar oscillations accurately probe rotation rate and spin axis inclination
GIZON \& SOLANKI 2003; BALLOT ET AL. 2006; BECK ET AL. 2012 NATURE; DEHEUVELS ET AL. 2012; HUBER ET AL. 2013 SCIENCE
- Rotational degeneracy of $\ell=1$ (dipolar) modes gives $(2 \ell+1) \mathrm{m}$ components


DIPOLAR OSCILLATION MODE SPLIT BY ROTATION


Credit: E. Corsaro

High angles are easier to observe (projection effect from 3D space)

$$
d \Omega=\sin (\theta) d \theta
$$

3D RANDOM DISTRIBUTION


© GIZON \& SOLANKI 2003

© CORSARO ET AL., NATURE ASTRONOMY, 2017

MEASURING STELLAR AM

## DEGREE OF SPIN ALIGNMENT

3D RANDOM DISTRIBUTION


3D Random

$$
\alpha=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{i}\right)
$$

$$
\alpha=\frac{1}{3}
$$

Projected spin inclination $\theta$


PART III
OBSERVATIONS,
ANALYSIS \& NEW RESULTS

## SPACE MISSION

## NASA KEPLER

- Launched 2009 - End nominal mission in 2013
- Mission devoted to exoplanets discovery
- 150,000 stars observed in the Cygnus - Lyra constellations
- Kepler photometric band: $430-890$ nm


OC FROM NASA'S KEPLER MISSION

## OBSERVATIONAL PROPERTIES

## NGC 6791

- Total mass ~ $5000 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ PLATAIS ET AL. 2011
- Distance ~ 4187 pc BASU ET AL. 2011
- Size ~ 10 pc



NGC 6819

- Total mass ~ $2600 \mathrm{M}_{\text {Sun }}$ KALIRAI ET AL. 2001
- Distance ~ 2344 pc BASU ET AL. 2011
- Size ~ 7 pc


## 4 YEARS PHOTOMETRY

- Age ~ 2.4 Gyr BREWER ET AL. 2016
- $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{RG}} \sim 1.7 \mathrm{M}_{\text {Sun }}$ MIGLIO ET AL. 2012
- Class: 11 m

OC FROM NASA'S KEPLER MISSION

## GALACTIC POSITIONS



NGC 6791
Gal. lat. $10.9^{\circ}$
Gal. long. $69.95^{\circ}$
h ~ 700 pc
NGC 6819
Gal. lat. $8.5^{\circ}$
Gal. long. $73.98^{\circ}$
h ~ 300 pc
$h_{\text {thin disk }} \sim 350 \mathrm{pc}$
Annotated Roadmap to the Milky Way
[artist's concept]

TARGET SELECTION

## CLUSTER RED GIANTS

- 48 cluster red giants with clear evolutionary stage from period
 spacing of $\ell=1$ mixed modes $\Delta \Pi_{1} \quad$ corsaro et AL. 2012;


EVOLUTIONARY STAGE OF RED GIANTS © CORSARO ET AL. 2012

ANALYSIS OF STELLAR OSCILLATIONS

- Bayesian inference code DIAMONDS: public code https://github.com/EnricoCorsaro/DIAMONDS CORSARO \& DE RIDDER, 2014, A\&A, 571, 71 CORSARO, DE RIDDER, GARCIA, 2015, A\&A, 579, 83
- Background signal modeled with granulation and mesogranulation components in 48 cluster red giants

© CORSARO \& DE RIDDER, 2014 CORSARO ET AL. 2017, IN PREP.


ANALYSIS OF STELLAR OSCILLATIONS

## BAYESIAN PEAK BAGGING

- 3900 oscillation modes fitted and identified from 48 red giant stars in NGC 6791 and NGC 6819
corsaro et Al. 2016, IN prer.
- 380 rotationally split $\ell=1$ mixed modes used to measure spin-axis inclinations

© CORSARO ET AL. 2015
- Only significant peaks considered with peak significance test
- Bayesian model comparison with Bayesian evidence computed with DIAMONDS
$\mathcal{E}_{1} / \mathcal{E}_{2} \simeq 150$

ANALYSIS OF STELLAR OSCILLATIONS


## MEASURING STELLAR-SPIN INCLINATIONS

## OBSERVATIONAL RESULTS

- Strong spin alignment in both clusters!

$$
\alpha \simeq 0.75
$$



# ORIGIN OF SPIN ALIGNMENT N-BODY INTERACTIONS? 

- N-body simulations for old open clusters can reproduce observed populations of single and multiple stars
GELLER ET AL. 2013
- Individual stars undergo spin down over time: magnetic braking, stellar winds, tidal friction MEIBOM ET AL. 2011 NATURE; VAN SADERSETAL. 2016 NATURE
- Main force influencing spin orientation and orbital configuration is tidal
- But OC stars are sparse ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }} \mathrm{pc}^{-3}$ ) LADA \& LADA 2003
- Tidal forces among stars are negligible already over a few $\mathrm{AU}\left(\sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{pc}\right)$ and on given timescales HUT 1981

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\text {tidal }} \propto r^{-3} \\
& \frac{d \theta}{d t} \propto\left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Spin alignment possible only during cluster formation epoch
- MC is treated as compressible fluid and evolution resolved with NavierStokes equations
- RAMSES: 3D MHD code with adaptive mesh refinement TEYSSIER 2002; FROMANG ET AL. 2006
- Compact ( $\sim 0.2 \mathrm{pc}$ ) and dense $\left(10^{7} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right) \mathrm{MC}$ with $10^{3} \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ and isothermal at $\mathrm{T}=10 \mathrm{~K}$
LeE \& hennebelle 2016
- Bonnor-Ebert-like spherical MC with density profile
$\rho(r)=\rho_{0}\left[1+\left(\frac{r}{r_{0}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1}$


## 3D HYDRODYNAMICAL SIMULATIONS <br> PROTO-CLUSTER FORMATION

- Evolution by gravitational collapse + turbulent velocity field (Kolmogrov spectrum) + solid body global rotation
- Sink particles algorithm used to add AM from gas to sink (pre-stellar cores): track evolution of AM at scales of several AU


3D hydrodynamics


PROTO-CLUSTER FORMATION
3D SIMULATION RESULTS

- If cloud rotation absent or low: no spin alignment (random)


## $E_{\text {rot }} / E_{\text {tur }}<1$

- If strong cloud rotation present: significant spin alignment


## $E_{\text {rot }} / E_{\mathrm{tur}} \simeq 1$

- Stars with $\mathbf{M}<0.7 \mathbf{M}_{\text {sun }}$ show no alignment even with strong rotation



# PROTO-CLUSTER FORMATION <br> 3D SIMULATION RESULTS 



## PROTO-CLUSTER FORMATION 3D SIMULATION RESULTS



Sink particles simulate pre-stellar cores

PROTO-CLUSTER FORMATION
3D SIMULATION RESULTS

© CORSARO ET AL., NATURE ASTRONOMY, 2017

## SUMMARY \& CONCLUSIONS

## Direct observations



Detection through asteroseismology

## Proto-cluster has strong rotational energy component

Proto-cluster AM efficiently passed down to individual stars

Imprint of cloud's global rotation has survived for more than 8 Gyr!

[^1]$$
E_{\mathrm{rot}} \gtrsim E_{\mathrm{tur}}
$$

Proto-cluster

$$
M \geq 0.7 M_{\odot} \quad \text { Stars }
$$

## FUTURE PROSPECTS

- Enlarge the sample of open clusters, e.g. M44 and M67 with NASA K2
- Possibly include globular clusters with future space missions NASA TESS and ESA PLATO using asteroseismology
- Compare with astrometric and kinematic observations from ESA Gaia to study global rotation properties in clusters
- Extend study using standard methods from v sini using spectroscopic surveys for accessible open clusters from e.g. APOGEE, Gaia ESO
- Search for prototypes of forming regions in IR with strong rotational components using JWST and ground facilities such as GIARPS@TNG

We can use detailed asteroseismology
(coupled with simulations)
to probe the physics of star and stellar cluster formation!

## Thank you!

## ENRICO CORSARO




[^0]:    © CREDIT: GABRIEL PEREZ DIAZ, IAC (MULTIMEDIA SERVICE)

[^1]:    $E_{\text {tur }}>2 E_{\text {rot }} \quad$ Proto-cluster
    $E_{\mathrm{kin}}=E_{\mathrm{tur}}+E_{\mathrm{rot}}$

